

From: Michael <michael@theyfly.com>
Date: April 5, 2004 10:10:48 AM PDT
To: "Tim", SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, "russ", randi@randi.org, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>, derek@iigwest.com, "alexi", Vaughn@cfiwest.org, Plejarans_are_real@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [Plejarans_are_real] My examination of two documents

Dear Ike,

Each contact was date and time specified in the Contact Reports and they were printed up and disseminated in german shortly afterwards.

1. As far as proof regarding the Jupiter information, Lt. Col. Wendelle Stevens has stated and published that he had received the 115th Contact in Meier's house on March 9, 1979. This is also the contact that contains the information on the 10 predictions of events that were yet to occur, i.e. the Jonestown Massacre, the invasion of N. Viet Nam by China, etc. And all of these events did occur well subsequent to Stevens having the information in his documented possession (witnessed as it also was by two credible, professionals).

This contact was also witnessed by Major Rudolph Pestalozzi (Ret.) and Mr. O. Richard Norton of the Flandreau Planetarium in Tucson, Arizona. While Stevens' earliest copyrights on the Meier material are 1979, the volume of the book in which he published the Jupiter info, the date of his possession of it and the predictions is 1990. However, I have had the early English translations of the same information in my possession since 1986 and they were available a few years earlier, which is when the person who gave them to me first obtained them. I was interviewed in 1987 and mentioned some information that I had gleaned from these documents.

Since Stevens attests to the date that he received the information, i.e. March 9, 1979, I maintain that the statement is accurate and there indeed is no reason to doubt it. That being the case, how do you explain Meier handing over to Stevens information that was, clearly, not known or widely disseminated yet? This will also be the case with the information regarding Venus, and many other things.

So, before I answer the other questions, here are some for you to think about:

When did **YOU** (or anyone else you know) know any and all of the information that Meier is credited with knowing and publishing before occurrence? Before you read the document, were you aware of all the specifics quoted, let alone before they happened? How is it that a one-armed, resource-less farmer living in a rural area outside of Zurich, in the pre-computer/information age, indeed knew and published this wide variety of information...and produced some 1,200 photos, eight film segments, sound recordings, video and metal alloy sample evidence

(all of which remains irreproducible to this day)?

Other people have commented on some of the fail-safes and plausible deniability factors built into the case. Let's assume some differences in opinion and even some info that appears to be erroneous. Is there proof beyond a reasonable doubt or are there plausible, provable explanations for not only each of the individual components of the case but for the overall preponderance of evidence?

How do you explain that Meier, in 1995, published a prediction regarding the US strike on Iraq and five other items, including the rather specific warning of the danger of an (avoidable) accident at the nuclear power plant near Lyon, France...which occurred (was avoided, i.e. caught in time) on August 12, 2003? There were 436 nuclear power plants in operation worldwide at the time. And the information, which was contained in Meier's 251st Contact, was on the internet in 1998 and published in the book "And Yet They Fly!" in 2001, also well before the events occurred.

2. Regarding the assertions about Io and Jupiter's rings. One thing that I noticed on <http://www.nineplanets.org/io.html> was quite a bit of information about the sulphur content of Io, something which Meier commented on when he said that the rings were composed of dust particles and sulphur ions but, even more remarkably, Meier repeats something he was told prior to the October 19, 1978 contact, i.e. that the surface is composed in main part of potash salts and sulphur compounds, seemingly also confirmed. I'm not disturbed by Meier's figure of 180 kms. 300 km. Perhaps the average is closer to 180, or perhaps Meier was given info that was off, for some reason. Again, since none of this information was publicly known or available, we still have to ask how, actually how else, could he have known it...and everything else?

Regarding the evidence of the rings being supplied from the four inner moons, there was no inclusion of the word "only" here and (since I am not an astronomer) I cannot, nor does the article, say that the massive volume of particles expelled by Io's volcanoes do not contribute to the rings, it isn't stated in the report you refer to, and there may well be factors such as the referred to "magnetic drag", the attraction of the particles to the rings, etc. that account for the information. I also think that, since we are speaking of significant distances in regards to all the moons, it is still quite fair to say that the effect of a meteorite impacting the surface of the moon, while certainly powerful, doesn't account for much propulsive force, certainly nothing compared to the enormous force of a volcano.

So, I would ask, do the factors that account for the particles coming off of the moons, that were the result of micrometeorite strikes, being taken up into the rings also account for the particles coming from Io being taken up into the rings?

I don't know, not being an astronomer but I can't say that Meier's information is incorrect based on what you've said below.

That was my guess above, now here's some info that may support it that I just noticed on a page you mention (<http://www.solarviews.com/eng/jupiter.htm>):

"Jupiter's Magnetosphere

This image taken by the ion and neutral mass spectrometer instrument on NASA's Cassini spacecraft makes the huge magnetosphere surrounding Jupiter visible in a way no instrument on any previous spacecraft has been able to do. The magnetosphere is a bubble of charged particles trapped within the magnetic environment of the planet. A magnetic field is sketched over the image to place the energetic neutral atom emissions in perspective. This sketch extends in the horizontal plane to a width 30 times the radius of Jupiter. Also shown for scale and location are the disk of Jupiter (black circle) and the approximate position (yellow circles) of the doughnut-shaped torus created from material spewed out by volcanoes on [Io](#).

Some of the fast-moving ions within the magnetosphere pick up electrons to become neutral atoms, and once they become neutral, they can escape Jupiter's magnetic field, flying out from the magnetosphere at speeds of thousands of kilometers, or miles, per second."

Again, how is it that we're debating the (actually remarkable) scientific accuracy of a "UFO hoaxer"?

3. Regarding the newly discovered moons, a layman's guess but, based on the size of these objects, it may be that the Plejaren considered Amalthea the closest large, i.e. "real" by their definition, moon, as the other two are extremely small. It should be noted that the Plejaren stated that Jupiter has 17 moons, according to their definition of a moon.

4. Please note the following from August 27, 1996 found at <http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap960827.html>:

"Explanation: What does the largest storm system ever recorded look like close-up? This storm system is [Jupiter's Great Red Spot](#) and it was [captured recently](#) in detail by the [robot spacecraft Galileo](#) now in orbit around [Jupiter](#). Using real images from three color filters, the Galileo team was able to compute what a person would see if able to float just above this [ancient rotating cloud system](#). But don't get too close - remember that [Jupiter's Great Red Spot](#) is a cold, high pressure area more than twice as wide as [planet Earth](#)."

I'd call that a slam dunk for Meier.

5. I agree that at the end of the 1980s comes, ta da, 1990. How likely is it that Meier had access to this info, why would he have noted it, where, etc.? Just more little things to think about.

6. Again, we may be dealing with the distinctions made by the Plejaren regarding

moons vs asteroids, which they do elaborate on in the info regarding Saturn, as I recall.

7. Regarding Chimborazo, while it may be "very likely that somebody published" the info regarding it prior to Meier, you yourself didn't find it, even on the internet, which wasn't available to Meier at the time anyhow. How would he have heard of it; where, why would he even have mentioned it, especially if he did find it in another source, which, presuming it's so easy to find (he'd have to presume as much if he found it), why risk being called a plagiarist?

While there be still may be some minutia to debate regarding the above, I think it should be quite clear to proponents and skeptics of the case alike that this is no mere "UFO hoaxer". In fact, any person with an **OUNCE** of integrity and credibility would take our correspondence here and make it a center piece, a *raison d'etre*, for an immediate official investigation into the case...and its ultimate purpose.

We are, in case anyone needs reminding, talking about a one-armed man, living in very rural Switzerland, who, starting in 1975 began publishing and producing still irreproducible physical evidence of UFOS, i.e. very paranormal phenomena, as well as volumes of specific, more than reasonably prophetically accurate information spanning a wide, diverse array of topics absolutely unknown and unavailable to him, and to the vast majority of humanity, at the time. We take into account the absence of the internet, close proximity to any other possible sources of (primarily unknown) information, a complete lack of financial or collaborative resources, etc., etc. And we take into account that he did all of this while raising a family and dodging 19 assassination attempts.

As I posed in my two-choice, multiple choice question before, either the man is a genius of unprecedented and unparalleled stature or he is a genuine contactee. No reasonable alternative is presented let alone suggested. Frankly, to set matter extremely straight, any other suggestions are dishonest, evasive and indicative of an unimaginably enormous lack of integrity and ethics, as well as a similarly enormous state of denial.

It is well past put up or shut up time for the skeptics.

Your comments are welcomed.

Sincerely,

Michael Horn
Authorized American Media Representative

The Billy Meier Contacts
www.theyfly.com

Michael <michael@theyfly.com> wrote:

I do suggest that you view the Sound Analysis and the Photo Analysis documents, as well as Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt and the info regarding Jupiter, among other things.

I have read the short articles "Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt" and "Absolute Proof of Advance Knowledge of the Rings of Jupiter and their Composition by Billy Meier, from his 115th Contact on October 19, 1978" (catchy title!).

A problem with "Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt" is that it lists the date that Billy Meier claims the Contacts occurred, but it doesn't list the publication date of any of the predictions. To establish proof beyond a reasonable doubt, only predictions *published* before the event can be considered, and it is not stated which Contacts qualify.

Anyway, I did some cursory research of the information about the solar system found in those reports. I immediately started finding problems which make me consider the reality of Billy Meier's claims to be as questionable as ever. Here they are:

1. There is no proof that Billy Meier's writings about Jupiter pre-dated Voyager's discoveries. Billy Meier's 115th Contact was not published until 1982/1986 well after the official discovery of some of his predictions in 1979. In <http://www.theyfly.com/PDF/Horn_RingsofJupiter.pdf>, Michael Horn asserts "there is no reason to doubt that the actual date of Meier's information is as given, i.e. October 19, 1978". I disagree: there is reason to doubt.

2. In the 115th Contact, it was reported that Jupiter's ring primarily consists of particles emitted by Io's volcanoes. However, <http://www.nineplanets.org/jupiter.html> says: "The Galileo spacecraft found clear evidence that the rings are continuously resupplied by dust formed by micrometeor impacts on the four inner moons, which are very energetic because of Jupiter's large gravitational field."

Michael Horn already defended this discrepancy in <http://www.theyfly.com/PDF/ProofBeyondCorrected.pdf>: "While scientists believe that source of particulate matter is explosions caused by meteorite strikes on moons' surfaces, Meier's information seems more accurate, i.e. matter being explosively propelled from volcanoes at speeds up to 2,300 km/hr to heights of 180 kms more likely true source of most particulate forming rings". This is an unsupported assertion which ignores these facts: the two innermost moons orbit within the Main ring, and the next two moons bound the two Gossamer rings. Io's orbit is 200,000 km farther from Jupiter than the outer edge of the Gossamer rings and nearly 300,000 km from the Main ring. It is far more likely that the matter in the Main ring comes from the moons that are right *in* the ring than from Io several hundred thousand kilometers away. I collected the raw data for these calculations here: <http://www.solarviews.com/eng/jupiter.htm#rings>.

On a side note, Billy Meier's reported height of Io's volcanics eruptions (180 km) is low. <http://www.nineplanets.org/io.html> says: "Striking photos of actual eruptions with plumes 300 km high were sent back by both Voyagers and by Galileo"

3. In the 115th Contact, it is reported that Amalthea is the closest moon to Jupiter. However, two

smaller moons closer to the planet have been discovered: Metis and Adrastea. That makes Amalthea the third closest moon.
<<http://www.nineplanets.org/amalthea.html>>

4. In the 115th Contact, it is reported that the Great Red Spot (GRS) of Jupiter is a "rotating and crater shaped hole on the wildly heaving surface of this incomplete sun, and that this funnel-hole is the center of a gigantic and many millennia-ols storm" Current information leads human scientists to conclude that "... the GRS is a high-pressure region whose cloud tops are significantly higher and colder than the surrounding regions." (This is from <<http://www.nineplanets.org/jupiter.html>>.)

A slightly different reading of the Contact could make the two reports above be consistent. Perhaps the meaning was altered in the translation. After all, the high clouds found by space probes could be covering the "funnel-hole" in the surface. In any case, the current English version of the Contact notes contradict the scientific findings.

5.
<<http://www.theyfly.com/PDF/ProofBeyondCorrected.pdf>>
says: "1978: Meier foretells launch of telescope at end of 1980s that would make unfathomable discoveries in space. Corroborated: Hubble telescope launched April 1990". The two problems with this are:
a) April 1990 is not "at the end of the 1980s" (though it could be considered close enough).
b) There are many ways Billy Meier could have heard about the space telescope project before 1978. According to <<http://quest.arc.nasa.gov/hst/about/history.html>>, scientists had been working on the Hubble telescope since at least 1973 and the United States Congress authorized funding in 1977.

6.

<<http://www.theyfly.com/PDF/ProofBeyondCorrected.pdf>>

says: "150th Contact, October 10th, 1981: Meier discussed information concerning the 29 actual

moons

of Saturn, origins of moons from asteroids.

<<http://www.ifa.hawaii.edu/~sheppard/satellites/sat2003.html>>

There are now 31 confirmed moons of Saturn.

Perhaps

Saturn captured two new asteroids in the past twenty

years?

There are, of course, items for which I found no discrepancies, but I have by no means exhausted the possible avenues of research.

For instance, I did not find any errors in Billy Meier's claim that the peak of Mt. Chimborazo is farther from the center of the Earth than the top of Mt. Everest. However, I doubt that he was the first person to publish the fact. The book on web site <http://www.fgi.fi/yleis/chap1_eng.html> explains that it has been known since the time of Isaac Newton that the Earth bulges at the equator. In 1819, H.J.

Walbeck

published his calculated dimensions of the Earth, which

are very close to the correct numbers known today from satellite data. Given the long history of the issue, it is very likely that somebody published Billy Meier's

Meier's

"prediction" long before 1975. I turned up nothing on the internet, but the next time I visit my local university's library, I will try to find a reference.

So, I have been moving away from accepting Billy Meier's claims. Feel free to point out errors in my methods, sources, interpretation, computations, or analysis.

ike42

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Small Business \$15K Web Design Giveaway

http://promotions.yahoo.com/design_giveaway/

For more detailed Informations on Billy Meier Case please visit Official FIGU

Website: <http://www.figu.org>

(Switzerland)

Figu Study Group Website in U.S.A

<http://www.billymeier.com>

Hans Georg Lanzendorfer's website in German Language: Billy Meier - neither a Guru nor a Great Master: Billy Meier - weder Guru noch grosser Meister:

<http://www.lanzendorfer.ch/>

For official and well detailed documentation of technical and true scientific analyses of real metallic samples and sounds visit Michael Horn's Website "And Yet They Fly"

<http://www.theyfly.com/>

The most complete and detailed study on Talmud of Jmmanuel: Dr. Jim

Deardorff's TJ website: <http://www.proaxis.com/~deardorj/>

and bookmark its newer address: <http://www.tjresearch.info>

Learn more about Creational Laws here on this Webpage:

<http://www.avilabooks.com/Jmmanuel1.htm>

THE KEY SPIRITUAL TEACHINGS OF JMMANUEL

By Dr. Dietmar Rothe, Ph.D.

a transcript of a presentation Dr. Rothe gave at the International UFO

Congress Summer Seminars on 17th of September 2001 at Laughlin, NV.

The material is copyrighted. © All rights reserved by the author. Dr. Dr. Dietmar

Rothe. The web page is intended for your personal education and enjoyment

only. Copying and distributing any part of that material requires written

permission from the author.

Billy Meier: An English-Language Bibliography

<http://www25.brinkster.com/chancede/Meier.html>
by David E. Chance: chancede@slu.edu

Another Figu Friends JPLagasse and J. TruthSeeker:
<http://www.eduardmeier.org>

Links edited by J. Olivieri
on January 3/10/2004
Thank you for your membership.
Yahoo! Groups Links